Village of Oak Park - I-290 Letter of Intent Summary of Village Costs

			Oak Park Eisen	hower Crossing Matri	x		
	Harlem Avenue	Home Avenue (Ped)	Oak Park Avenue	East Avenue	Ridgeland Avenue	Lombard Avenue	Austin Blvd.
Uses	Pedestrian Bicycle Vehicle Pace Bus (307)	Pedestrian Bicycle	Pedestrian Bicycle Vehicle Pace Bus (311)	Pedestrian Bicycle Vehicle	Pedestrian Bicycle Vehicle Pace Bus (315)	Pedestrian Bicycle Vehicle	Pedestrian Bicycle Vehicle Pace Bus (315)
	CTA Rail (west side access)		CTA Rail (east side access)	CTA Rail (west side access)		CTA Rail (east side access)	CTA Rail (west side access) CTA Bus (91)
Sidewalk Width (Current)	5'4" average	9'6" average	7' average	5'4" average	5'9" average	5'6" average	5'4" average
Sidewalk Width (Recommended)	16' minimum desired	20' desired	16' minimum	16' minimum (west side) 12' minimum (east side)	12' minimum	16' minimum (east side) 12' minimum (west side)	16' minimum
Notes	Due to existing adjacent land uses north & south of the bridge deck, 10' to 12' sidewalk widths may be maximum possible.	Entrances require 14' minimum for equipment access.					Due to existing adjacent land uses north & south of the bridge deck, 7' to 12' sidewalk widths may be maximum possible.
Roadway Width (Current)	75'		46'	44'	44'	30'	71'
Roadway Width (Recommended)	IDOT TBD		48'	44'	44'	34'	IDOT TBD
Notes	No drop off/pick up lanes in cooperation with CTA for bus routes Access to Multi use path on the north side.	n/a	Shared Bike lanes		Shared Bike lanes		No drop off/pick up lanes in cooperation with CTA for bus routes
Landscaping	Village will select planters (non-permanent)	Village will select planters (non-permanent)	Village will select planters (non-permanent)	Village will select planters (non-permanent)	Village will select planters (non-permanent)	Village will select planters (non-permanent)	Village will select planters (non-permanent)

Bridges	Harlem Avenue	Home Avenue (Ped)	Oak Park Avenue	East Avenue	Ridgeland Avenue	Lombard Avenue	Austin Blvd.	VOP Cost	IDOT Cost
Interchange Lighting	State	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	State	\$0	TBD
		Village 100%	Village 100%	Village 100%	Village 100%	Village 100%	Village 100%		
Village Owned Lighting		(Requested	(Requested	(Requested	(Requested	(Requested	(Requested		
(On IDOT bridge by permit)	No local lighting	replacement at	replacement at	replacement at	replacement at	replacement at	replacement at		
	on bridge	State cost)	State cost)	State cost)	State cost)	State cost)	State cost)	\$252,476	\$0
In conflict with proposed bridge	on bridge	8 Poles	4 poles	5 poles	7 poles	5 poles	14 poles		
reconstruction Includes 15% engineering fee		\$27,249	\$33,206	\$35,374	\$57,408	\$43,901	\$55,338		

Frantaga Danda	Harlem Avenue	Home Avenue (Ped)	Oak Park Avenue	East Avenue	Ridgeland Avenue	Lombard Avenue	Austin Blvd.	VOP Cost	IDOT Cost
Frontage Roads	Note: reconstruction of frontage road streets will be dependent upon bridge work, retaining wall work, bike path const., utility work are noise wall installation.								
Harrison St.		100% State 31 Poles						\$0	\$279,726 (includes 15% engineering fee)
Flournoy St.						100% 6 Pc	State oles	\$0	\$47,254 (includes 15% engineering fee)
Garfield St.		Noise Wall constru	oles impacted by ction/moment slab Oak Park Avenue					\$0	TBD
					•		TOTALS	\$252,476	\$326,980

Other Responsibilities:

- 1) All costs and long-term maintenance associated with roadway lighting within the corporate limits of the Village are the 100% Villages responsibility.
- 2) If the Village chooses to upgrade the existing lighting system, incremental costs for the upgraded lighting will be Village responsibility.
- 3) The estimated cost for the new bridge lighting is \$252,476 which includes a 15% Engineering fee.
- 4) Assumes existing lighting cannot be salvaged.
- 5) The Village agrees to accept long-term responsibility for the administration, control and maintenance of the roadway lighting.
- 6) Regardless of lighting upgrades, the existing sub-standard lighting will need to be removed with the cost of removal 100% Village cost, including 15% Engineering fee.

TOTAL VILLAGE COSTS:	\$252,476
TOTAL IDOT/FHWA COSTS:	\$326,980
TOTAL COST:	\$579,456

			Oak Park	Eisenhower Mainten	ance and Jurisdiction	n Matrix		
	Bridges	Harlem Avenue	Home Avenue (Ped)	Oak Park Avenue	East Avenue	Ridgeland Avenue	Lombard Avenue	Austin Blvd.
	Wearing Surface (minor repairs/potholes)	State	Village	Village	Village	State	Village	Village (west half only)
	Sidewalks, railing and fencing	State	Village	Village	Village	Village	Village	Village
anc	Lighting	State	Village	Village	Village	Village	Village	Village (west half only)
Maintenance	Bridge Deck maintenance*	State	Village (depending on landscaping elements incorporated on deck VOP may have to take on larger maintenance requirements)	Village (Requested IDOT to Maintain)	Village (Requested IDOT to Maintain)	State Note: Ridgeland is under State Jurisdiction with maintenance performed by the Village through a maintenance agreement	Village (Requested IDOT to Maintain)	Village (Requested IDOT to Maintain)
	Superstructure	State	State	State	State	State	State	State
Fre	ontage Roads	Harlem Avenue Note: reconstructi	Home Avenue (Ped) on of frontage road si	Oak Park Avenue		Ridgeland Avenue	Lombard Avenue	Austin Blvd.
	Harrison St.		nsible for 100% of co tion of I-290, includin					
nstruction	Flournoy St.						of reconstruction who construction of I-290	ible for 100% of costs nere impacted by the D, including local legs ting streets.
Recon		State will be respond	onsible for 100% of co	osts of reconstruction	where impacted by streets.	the construction of I-		
R	Garfield St.				Succis.			Reconfiguration for Right-in/Right out at Austin Blvd. 100% State cost

^{*} Oak Park requesting bridge maintenance responsibility to be limited to wearing surface only due to opposed post-tensioned slab construction.

Village of Oak Park - I-290 Letter of Intent Summary of Village Costs

Oak Park Eisenhower Bicyclist and Pedestrian Accommodations Matrix								
	IDOT Cost (80%)	VOP Cost (20%) plus 15% engineering fee	Notes					
Shared Use Path 12' Wide Asphalt 1.49 Miles (Harlem Ave to Austin Blvd) PARTICIPATE NOW	\$210,600	\$48,438	VOP Long Term Maintenance Responsibility					

TOTAL VILLAGE COSTS:	\$48,438
TOTAL IDOT/FHWA COSTS:	\$210,600
TOTAL COST:	\$259,038

			Oak Park Eis	senhower Hardscape	, Landscape & Aesti	netics Matrix		
	Frontage Roads	Hariem Avenue	Home Avenue (Ped)	Oak Park Avenue	East Avenue	Ridgeland Avenue	Lombard Avenue	Austin Bivd.
Cost Range Overall		Over \$3MM	\$1MM-3MM	Over \$3MM	Under \$1MM	\$1MM-3MM	Under \$1MM	Over \$3MM
Hardscape VOP Pay upgrades and Maintain		Roadway (Concrete + Painted Surface for Bikeway); Sidewalk (Concrete or Decorative Paving or Stone), painted crosswalks at entry points	Pedway (Concrete center with brick or stone walkways on perimeter)	Roadway (Concrete + Painted Surface for Bikeway); Sidewalk (Concrete or Decorative Paving or Stone), painted crosswalks at entry points	Roadway (Asphalt or Concrete + Painted Surface for <u>Bikeway</u>) <u>Sidewalk</u> (Concrete) with decorative painted crosswalks at entry points	Roadway (Asphalt or Concrete + Painted Surface for <u>Bikeway</u>); <u>Sidewalk</u> (Concrete or Decorative Paving or Stone), painted crosswalks at entry points	Roadway (Asphalt or Concrete + Painted Surface for Bikeway) Sidewalk (Concrete) with decorative painted crosswalks at entry points	Roadway (Concrete + Painted Surface for Bikeway); Sidewalk (Concrete or Decorative Paving or Stone), painted crosswalks at entry points
General Aesthetics VOP Pay and Maintain		decorative lamps same as	Decorative <u>fencing</u> with possible decorative <u>arching feature</u> .	Light Poles with decorative lamps same as Street), Fence(railings)/Wall (decorative with or without decorative Lighting) Decorative Arching feature	Decorative <u>fencing</u> to match other bridge fencing.	Decorative <u>fencing</u> with possible decorative <u>arching feature</u>	Decorative <u>fencing</u> to match other bridge fencing.	Light Poles with decorative lamps same as Street), Fence(railings)/Wall (decorative with or without decorative Lighting) Decorative Arching feature
Landscape VOP Pay and Maintain		Irrigation and Plantings for Perimeter Areas: Low/Mid grasses, shrubs, perennials. Buffer Areas: low perennials/ ground cover	Limited landscape within pots or small in-ground planters. Bulk of Landscaping / open space at entry points - including street furniture.	Irrigation and Plantings for Perimeter Areas; Low/Mid grasses, shrubs, perennials. Buffer Areas; low perennials/ ground cover	Limited landscape within pots or small in-ground planters. Bulk of Landscaping / open space at entry points.	Limited landscape within pots or small in-ground planters. Bulk of Landscaping / open space at entry points.	Limited landscape within <u>pots or small inground planters</u> . Bulk of Landscaping / <u>open space</u> at entry points.	Irrigation and Plantings for Perimeter Areas; Low/Mid grasses, shrubs, perennials. Buffer Areas; low perennials/ ground cover
Landscape Opportunities	Flournoy Ave. (Outside)	Harlem Ave. Interchange (North)	Home Ave. (North & South)	Oak Park Ave.	East Ave. (North)	Ridgeland Ave. (North)	Lombard Ave	Austin Blvd.
Cost Range IDOT/VOP		TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

Hardscape Elements								
Feature	Incremental Cost	Village Cost Participation	Feature	Incremental Cost	Village Cost Participation			
Painted Poles	TBD	TBD	Decorative Fence	TBD	TBD			
Fluted Poles	TBD	TBD	Brick Pavers	TBD	TBD			
Gateway	TBD	TBD	Planters	TBD	TBD			
Railings	TBD	TBD	Pedestrian Plaza	TBD	TBD			
Decorative Fence	TBD	TBD	Bridge Deck adj. to Ramps	TBD	TBD			
			Noise Wall Form Liner pattern	TBD	TBD			

TOTAL VILLAGE COSTS:	\$TBD
TOTAL IDOT/FHWA COSTS:	\$TBD
TOTAL COST:	\$TBD

			North -	South Utility Crossin	gs		
Feature	Harlem Avenue	Maple Avenue	Oak Park Avenue	East Avenue	Ridgeland Avenue	Lombard Avenue	Austin Blvd
Utility 1	N/A	12" Watermain Crossing	12' x 6' Combined Sewer Crossing	12" Watermain Crossing	Combined Sewer	12" Watermain Crossing	12" Watermain Crossing
Cost Responsibility		State 100%	VOP*	State 100%	State 100%	State 100%	State 100%
Improvement		Replace in Kind	New	Replace in Kind	Replace in Kind	Replace in Kind	Replace in Kind
Total Cost	TBD	\$947,000		\$884,000	\$2,703,000	\$727,000	\$697,000
Utility 2				Sanitary Sewer	12" Watermain Crossing	18" Watermain Crossing	
Cost Responsibility				State 100% replacement in kind VOP **incremental	State 100%	State 100%	
Improvement				Upsize	Replace in Kind	Replace in Kind	
Total Cost				\$3,458,000	\$493,000	\$758,000	
State Cost		\$947,000		\$4,342,000	\$3,196,000	\$1,485,000	\$697,000
VOP Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	TBD	\$0	\$0	\$0

^{*}VOP to provide request letter for compensatory crossing

^{**}VOP to verify size increase at this location. Incremental cost increase to be paid for by VOP

			East - West Uti	lities (beneath fronta	age roads)	
Street Name	Harrison Street	Flournoy Street	Garfield Street			
Limits	N/A	N/A	Home Avenue to Oak Park Avenue			
Reason			Noise Wall moment slab			
Cost Responsibility			State 100%			
Improvement			Relocate/replace if conflict			
Total Cost			TBD			
State Cost			TBD			
VOP Cost			\$0			

^{***}Discuss adjacent construction impacts to frontage road utilities

TOTAL VILLAGE COSTS:	
TOTAL IDOT/FHWA COSTS:	\$10,667,000
TOTAL COST:	\$10,667,000

Village

Traffic Signal Modernization

TOTAL: \$35,000 (10%)

Engineering Fee (15%)

TOTAL: \$5,250

EVP Device

TOTAL: \$6,000 Engineering Fee (15%) TOTAL: \$900

		Oak Park Eisenhower 1	raffic Signa	l Matrix	
Bridges	Harlem Avenue	Oak Park Avenue	East Avenue	Ridgeland Avenue	Lombard Avenue
I-290 Ramps	State Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$631,000 FHWA: \$504,800 (80%) IDOT: \$126,200 (20%) Village Emergency Vehicle Preemption (EVP) Device TOTAL: \$6,000 Engineering Fee (15%) TOTAL: \$900	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
rontage Roads	Harlem Avenue	Oak Park Avenue	East Avenue	Ridgeland Avenue	Lombard
Garfield St	Note: reconstruction of frontage re State	pad streets will be dependent upon State		k, retaining wall work, bike path co State	
Garriero St	Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$350,000 FHWA: \$280,000 (80%) IDOT: \$35,000 (10%) Village Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$17,500 (5%)	Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$350,000 FHWA: \$280,000 (80%) Village Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$70,000 (20%)	n/a	Traffic Signal Modernization	n/a

TOTAL: \$10,500

Emergency Vehicle Preemption

(EVP) Device

TOTAL: \$6,000

Engineering Fee (15%)

TOTAL: \$900

TOTAL: \$2,625

Other

Traffic Signal Modernization

TOTAL: \$17,500 (5%)

EVP Device

TOTAL: \$6,000

	Oak Park Eisenhower Traffic Signal Matrix				
Frontage Roads	Harlem Avenue	Oak Park Avenue	East Avenue	Ridgeland Avenue	Lombard Avenue
Jackson Blvd	Note: reconstruction of frontage restate Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$350,000 FHWA: \$280,000 (80%) IDOT: \$35,000 (10%) Village Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$17,500 (5%) Engineering Fee (15%) TOTAL: \$2,625 Other Traffic Signal Modernization	oad streets will be dependent upon n/a		k, retaining wall work, bike path cor n/a	•
Hawisan Ct	TOTAL: \$17,500 (5%) EVP Device TOTAL: \$6,000	Chata	7/0	State	7/0
Harrison St	n/a	Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$350,000 FHWA: \$280,000 (80%) Traffic Signal Interconnection TOTAL: \$100,000 FHWA: \$80,000 (80%) Village Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$70,000 (20%) Engineering Fee (15%) TOTAL: \$10,500 Traffic Signal Interconnection TOTAL: \$20,000 (20%) Engineering Fee (15%) TOTAL: \$3,000 EVP Device TOTAL: \$6,000 Engineering Fee (15%) TOTAL: \$900	n/a	State Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$350,000 FHWA: \$280,000 (80%) IDOT: \$35,000 (10%) Traffic Signal Interconnection TOTAL: \$100,000 FHWA: \$80,000 (80%) IDOT: \$20,000 (20%) Village Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$35,000 (10%) Engineering Fee (15%) TOTAL: \$5,200 EVP Device TOTAL: \$6,000 Engineering Fee (15%) TOTAL: \$900	n/a

Oak Park Eisenhower Traffic Signal Matrix

TOTAL VILLAGE COSTS: TOTAL IDOT/FHWA COSTS: TOTAL COST:

Austin Blvd

State

Traffic Signal Modernization

TOTAL: \$631,000 FHWA: \$504,800 (80%) IDOT: \$126,200 (20%)

State

Traffic Signal Interconnection

TOTAL: \$100,000 FHWA: \$80,000 (80%) IDOT: \$20,000 (20%)

Austin Blvd.

rk and noise wall installation.

n/a

Austin Blvd.

rk and noise wall installation.

n/a

State

Traffic Signal Modernization

TOTAL: \$350,000 FHWA: \$280,000 (80%)

Village

Traffic Signal Modernization TOTAL: \$70,000 (20%) Engineering Fee (15%) TOTAL: \$10,500

\$419,750	
\$3,789,000	
\$4,208,750	

	Oak Park Eisenhower - Other requested elements			
Item	Description			
1	CTA Blue Line	Oak Park requests that I-290 reconstruction be completed in		
	OTA Blue Little	partnership with CTA Blue Line		
2	Noise Walls	Oak Park requests that location of sound walls be		
	Noise Walls	reconsidered closer to project construction.		
		Oak Park requests IDOT to pay for foundations and		
3	Expanded Bridge Deck Foundations	substructures for expanded bridge decking at Home Avenue,		
3		Oak Park Avenue, East Avenue, Ridgeland Avenue, Lombard		
		Avenue.		
		Oak Park requests IDOT to commit to funding of existing		
4	Infrastructure on Bridge Decking	infrastructure, including public street lighting. No prior		
"	illitastructure on bridge Decking	agreements exist and current policy was written after original		
		expressway construction		
		Oak Park requests IDOT to relocate any existing village		
5	Utility Conflicts	utilities that would be located under a proposed noise wall		
		moment slab.		
		Oak Park requests IDOT funding of restoring sewer capacity		
		under I-290. Original expressway construction removed pre-		
		existing sewer under Oak Park Avenue and restricted		
6	Restoration of Public Utility Capacity	capacity at East Avenue. Oak Park requests that the Oak		
		Park Avenue sewer crossing be reinstated and the East		
		Avenue sewer restored to its original capacity.		
		· · ·		
		Oak Park requesting bridge maintenance responsibility to be		
7	Maintenance of Bridge Wearing Surface Only	limited to wearing surface only due to proposed post-		
		tensioned slab construction.		
8	Construction Management/Coordination	Oak Park requests one FTE Village staff/engineer for 8 year		
	Table Management and Continue	construction schedule		
	Structure Construction Monitoring	Oak Park requests IDOT providing pre and post-construction		
9		monitoring of public and private facilities to document and		
		protect against construction impacts		

Village of Oak Park - I-290 Letter of Intent Summary of Village Costs

Item	Village Cost	IDOT Cost
Lighting	\$252,476	\$326,980
Bicycle and Pedestrian	\$48,438	\$210,600
Hardscape	TBD	TBD
Landscape	TBD	TBD
Aesthetics	TBD	TBD
Utilities	TBD	TBD
Traffic Signals	\$419,750	\$3,789,000
TOTALS	\$720,664	\$4,326,580

TOTAL VILLAGE COST:	\$720,664
TOTAL IDOT/FHWA COST:	\$4,326,580
TOTAL COST:	\$5,047,244